

## Homework 9 - Operators

### Question 1:

We define the commutator of two operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  by  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$ . Prove the following identities:

1.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]$ .
2.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{A}] = 0$ .
3.  $[\hat{A}, [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] + [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + [\hat{B}, [\hat{C}, \hat{A}]] = 0$ .
4.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C}$ .
5.  $[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}$ .
6. If  $[\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = [\hat{B}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0$ , then

$$[\hat{A}^n, \hat{B}] = n\hat{A}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \quad \text{and} \quad [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = n\hat{B}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}],$$

and therefore if  $F(\hat{B})$  is an analytical function of  $\hat{B}$  we get:

$$[\hat{A}, F(\hat{B})] = \frac{dF(\hat{B})}{d\hat{B}} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}].$$

### Solution:

1.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} = -(\hat{B}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{B}) = -[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]$ .
2.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{A}] = \hat{A}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{A} = 0$ .
3. Opening the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] + [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] + [\hat{B}, [\hat{C}, \hat{A}]] &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] - [\hat{A}, \hat{C}\hat{B}] + [\hat{C}, \hat{A}\hat{B}] - [\hat{C}, \hat{B}\hat{A}] + [\hat{B}, \hat{C}\hat{A}] - [\hat{B}, \hat{A}\hat{C}] \\ &= \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} + \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A} + \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} \\ &\quad - \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A} + \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} + \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} - \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} + \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} = \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} + \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} - \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} = (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A})\hat{C} + \hat{B}(\hat{A}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{A}) = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$ .

$$5. [\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} = \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} + \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} - \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} = \hat{A}(\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{B}) + (\hat{A}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{A})\hat{B} = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}.$$

6. Checking for  $n = 1$  is trivial, then assuming this holds for  $n - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}^n, \hat{B}] &= \hat{A}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}^{n-1}, \hat{B}] \hat{A} \\ &= \hat{A}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + (n-1) \hat{A}^{n-2} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{A} \\ &= \hat{A}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + (n-1) \hat{A}^{n-2} (\hat{A} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] - [\hat{A}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]]) \\ &= n \hat{A}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\hat{C}\hat{A} = \hat{A}\hat{C} - [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]$ , with  $\hat{C} = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] &= \hat{B}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^{n-1}] \hat{B} \\ &= \hat{B}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + (n-1) \hat{B}^{n-2} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{B} \\ &= n \hat{B}^{n-1} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]. \end{aligned}$$

## Question 2:

Given an operator  $\hat{A}$ , we define its hermitian conjugate,  $\hat{A}^\dagger$  such that  $\langle \psi_1 | \hat{A} \psi_2 \rangle = \langle \hat{A}^\dagger \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$ .

1. Show that if  $\hat{A} = a$  (just multiplication by a scalar) then  $\hat{A}^\dagger = a^*$ .
2. Find the Hermitian conjugate of the derivative operator  $\hat{D} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

**An Hermitian operator is an operator that satisfies  $\hat{A}^\dagger = \hat{A}$ .**

3. Let  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  be two Hermitian operators. We define the operator  $\hat{C} \equiv \hat{A} + i\hat{B}$ . Is this operator Hermitian? If not, find its Hermitian conjugate  $\hat{C}^\dagger$ .
4. Given two commuting operators  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be an eigenstate of  $\hat{B}$  with eigenvalue  $b$ . Show that  $\hat{A}\varphi$  is also an eigenstate of  $\hat{B}$ , with the same eigenvalue  $b$ .
5. From (4), deduce that if  $b$  is non-degenerate (there is only one eigenstate associated with it), then  $\varphi$  is also an eigenstate of  $\hat{A}$ .

**Solution:**

1. Since  $\langle \psi | \hat{A} \psi \rangle = a \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ , then  $\langle \psi | \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{A}^\dagger \psi | \psi \rangle = a^* \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ . Thus  $\boxed{\hat{A}^\dagger = a^*}$ .
2. Using the fact that  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\hat{D}$  is Hermitian,

$$\hat{p}^\dagger = \hat{p} \quad \rightarrow \quad i\hbar\hat{D}^\dagger = -i\hbar\hat{D} \quad \rightarrow \quad \boxed{\hat{D}^\dagger = -\hat{D}}.$$

3. Taking the Hermitian conjugate

$$\hat{C}^\dagger = \hat{A}^\dagger - i\hat{B}^\dagger = \hat{A} - i\hat{B} \neq \hat{C},$$

we see that  $\hat{C}$  is not Hermitian.

4. Taking the inner product

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] |\varphi\rangle = (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}) |\varphi\rangle = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{B}\hat{A} |\varphi\rangle = \hat{A}\hat{B} |\varphi\rangle = b\hat{A} |\varphi\rangle,$$

thus  $\hat{A} |\varphi\rangle$  is also an eigenvector of  $\hat{B}$  with an eigenvalue  $b$ .

5. If  $b$  has only one eigenstate, then

$$b\hat{A}|\varphi\rangle \neq b|\varphi\rangle,$$

which means that  $|\varphi\rangle$  must be an eigenstate of  $\hat{A}$  with some eigenvalue  $a$  such that

$$b\hat{A}|\varphi\rangle = ab|\varphi\rangle \neq b|\varphi\rangle.$$

### Question 3:

Consider a three-dimensional vector space spanned by an orthonormal basis  $|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle$ . Kets  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|\beta\rangle$  are given by

$$|\alpha\rangle = i|1\rangle - 2|2\rangle - i|3\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta\rangle = i|1\rangle + 2|3\rangle.$$

1. Construct  $\langle\alpha|$  and  $\langle\beta|$  in terms of the dual basis  $\langle 1|, \langle 2|, \langle 3|$ .
2. Find  $\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle$  and  $\langle\beta|\alpha\rangle$ , and confirm that  $\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = \langle\beta|\alpha\rangle^*$ .
3. Find all nine matrix elements of the operator  $\hat{A} \equiv |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$ , in this basis, and construct the matrix  $A$ . Is it Hermitian?

#### Solution:

1. Using the fact that  $\langle\alpha|\alpha\rangle = 1$ , hence  $\langle\alpha| = |\alpha\rangle^\dagger$ , taking the Hermitian conjugate we find

$$\boxed{\langle\alpha| = -i\langle 1| - 2\langle 2| + i\langle 3| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\beta| = -i\langle 1| + 2\langle 3|}.$$

2. Taking the inner products we find

$$\boxed{\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle = 1 + 2i \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\beta|\alpha\rangle = 1 - 2i = \langle\alpha|\beta\rangle^*}.$$

3. Representing the operator  $\hat{A}$  as a matrix that acts on the vector space states,

$$\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1\rangle \\ |2\rangle \\ |3\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

we can find the elements by taking the inner products  $\langle i|\hat{A}|j\rangle$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} A_{11} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|1\rangle = (i)(-i) = 1; & A_{21} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|2\rangle = (i)(0) = 0; & A_{31} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|3\rangle = (i)(2) = 2i; \\ A_{12} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|2\rangle = (-2)(-i) = 2i; & A_{22} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|2\rangle = (-2)(0) = 0; & A_{32} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|2\rangle = (-2)(2) = -4; \\ A_{13} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|3\rangle = (-i)(-i) = -1; & A_{23} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|3\rangle = (-i)(0) = 0; & A_{33} = \langle 1|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|3\rangle = (-i)(2) = -2i. \end{array}$$

thus

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2i \\ 2i & 0 & -4 \\ -1 & 0 & -2i \end{pmatrix},$$

which is singular and therefore is not Hermitian.

### Question 4:

Prove the uncertainty principle, relating the uncertainty in position to the uncertainty in energy:

$$\sigma_x\sigma_H \geq \frac{\hbar}{2m} |\langle p \rangle|.$$

For stationary states this does not tell you much—why not?

#### Solution:

Using the generalized uncertainty principle

$$\sigma_A^2 \sigma_B^2 \geq \left( \frac{1}{2i} \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle \right)^2,$$

calculating the commutator

$$\left[ \hat{x}, \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}) \right] = \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{x}, \hat{p}^2] + \cancel{[\hat{x}, V(\hat{x})]} = \frac{1}{2m} ([\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \hat{p} + \hat{p} [\hat{x}, \hat{p}]) = \frac{i\hbar \hat{p}}{m},$$

we find

$$\sigma_x \sigma_H \geq \frac{\hbar}{2m} |\langle p \rangle|.$$

For stationary states  $\sigma_H = 0$  and  $\langle p \rangle = 0$ , then we get the trivial relation  $0 \geq 0$ .

## Question 5:

1. Use the generalized Ehrenfest theorem

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle Q \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\hat{H}, \hat{Q}] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \hat{Q}}{\partial t} \right\rangle,$$

to show that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle xp \rangle = 2 \langle T \rangle - \left\langle x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle,$$

where  $T$  is the kinetic energy.

2. In a stationary state the left side is zero (why?) so

$$2 \langle T \rangle = \left\langle x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle.$$

This is called the *virial theorem*. Use it to prove that  $\langle T \rangle = \langle V \rangle$  for stationary states of the harmonic oscillator.

### Solution:

1. Replacing  $Q$  with  $xp$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle xp \rangle &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\hat{H}, \hat{x}\hat{p}] \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial (\hat{x}\hat{p})}{\partial t} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left\langle \left[ \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}), \hat{x}\hat{p} \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \left\langle \frac{1}{2m} [\hat{p}^2, \hat{x}\hat{p}] \right\rangle + \langle [V(\hat{x}), \hat{x}\hat{p}] \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{p}^2, \hat{x}\hat{p}] &= \hat{x} [\hat{p}^2, \hat{p}] + [\hat{p}^2, \hat{x}] \hat{p} = -2i\hbar \hat{p}^2, \\ [V(\hat{x}), \hat{x}\hat{p}] &= \hat{x} [V(\hat{x}), \hat{p}] + \cancel{[V(\hat{x}), \hat{x}] \hat{p}} = i\hbar \hat{x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the property  $[f, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \partial f / \partial x$ . Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle xp \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \left\langle -\frac{1}{2m} 2i\hbar \hat{p}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle i\hbar \hat{x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle \right) = 2 \langle T \rangle - \left\langle x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\hat{T} \equiv \hat{p}^2/2m$ .

2. For stationary states all expectation values are time-independent, thus

$$2 \langle T \rangle = \left\langle x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle,$$

calculating the right-hand-side, for harmonic oscillator we find

$$\left\langle x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right\rangle = \langle 2m\omega^2 x^2 \rangle = 2 \langle V \rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \boxed{\langle T \rangle = \langle V \rangle}.$$