

Homework 10 - Operators and Dirac Notation

Question 1:

Consider some physical system that is represented by a Hilbert space with the set of normalized energy eigenstates $\{|n\rangle, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $\hat{H}|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$. We define the projector operator on the state $|n\rangle$ by $\hat{P}_n = |n\rangle\langle n|$.

1. Is \hat{P}_n Hermitian?
2. What is the representative matrix of \hat{P}_n in this basis?
3. What are the eigenvalues of \hat{P}_n ?
4. Prove $\hat{P}_n\hat{P}_m = \delta_{nm}\hat{P}_n$.
5. Is \hat{P}_n unitary?
6. Prove that $\hat{H} = \sum_n E_n\hat{P}_n$.

Solution:

1. Since

$$|n\rangle^\dagger = \langle n| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle n|^\dagger = |n\rangle,$$

it is straightforward to see that \hat{P}_n is Hermitian:

$$\hat{P}_n^\dagger = (|n\rangle\langle n|)^\dagger = \langle n|^\dagger |n\rangle^\dagger = |n\rangle\langle n| = \hat{P}_n.$$

2. Takin the inner product

$$\langle k|\hat{P}_n|m\rangle = \langle k|n\rangle\langle n|m\rangle = \delta_{kn}\delta_{nm} \quad \rightarrow \quad P_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. When acting with \hat{P}_n on some state $|\mathcal{S}\rangle$, we get

$$\hat{P}_n|\mathcal{S}\rangle = \langle n|\mathcal{S}\rangle|n\rangle,$$

thus the eigenvalue is $\langle n|\mathcal{S}\rangle$. In the case of energy eigenstates $\{|n\rangle\}$ we find

$$\langle n|m\rangle = \delta_{nm},$$

i.e. the eigenvalues are 0 and 1.

4. Writing down $\hat{P}_n\hat{P}_m$ explicitly we find

$$\hat{P}_n\hat{P}_m = |n\rangle\langle n|m\rangle\langle m| = \delta_{nm}|n\rangle\langle m| = \delta_{nm}|n\rangle\langle n| = \delta_{nm}\hat{P}_n.$$

5. Unitarity is defined by $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$. The projection operator is singular,

$$\det \hat{P}_n = 0,$$

thus it is singular and not unitary.

6. We've shown in class that any operator \hat{Q} can be written in terms of spectral decomposition of orthonormal eigenstate

$$\hat{Q} = \sum_i \lambda_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_i|,$$

which holds for our case,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_n E_n \hat{P}_n.$$

Question 2:

Consider a harmonic oscillator of mass m and frequency ω .

1. Calculate the matrix elements of the momentum operator using the eigenfunctions of the hamiltonian $p_{kn} = \langle k|\hat{p}|n\rangle$ for $k, n = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.
2. Calculate the matrix elements of the momentum operator p_{kn} using ladder operators a and a^\dagger .
3. Calculate the matrix elements of the squared momentum operator p_{kn}^2 using ladder operators a and a^\dagger .
4. Calculate the matrix elements of the squared momentum operator p_{kn}^2 using matrix multiplication.
5. Calculate σ_x and σ_p for some eigenfunction of the hamiltonian and calculate $\sigma_x \sigma_p$. What is the minimal value of $\sigma_x \sigma_p$ and what is the corresponding eigenfunction?
6. Find the mean kinetic energy and potential energy of the state $|n\rangle$. Write the solution in terms of the energy eigenvalue E_n . Explain this result.

Solution:

1. The eigenfunctions of the hamiltonian are

$$\psi_n(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} H_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} x\right) e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \psi_n(x) &= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left[\frac{d}{dx} H_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} x\right) - \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} x H_n \left(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} x\right) \right] e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} 2n H_{n-1} - \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{1}{2} H_{n+1} + n H_{n-1}\right) \right] e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}} \left(n H_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} H_{n+1} \right) e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(\sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1} - \sqrt{(n+1)} \psi_{n+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second line, we used the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} H_n(z) &= 2n H_{n-1}(z), \\ H_n(z) &= 2z H_{n-1}(z) - 2(n-1) H_{n-2}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kn} &= \langle \psi_k | \hat{p} | \psi_n \rangle \\ &= -i\hbar \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \langle \psi_k | \left(\sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1} - \sqrt{(n+1)} \psi_{n+1} \right) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$p_{kn} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(\sqrt{(n+1)} \delta_{k,n+1} - \sqrt{n} \delta_{k,n-1} \right).$$

2. Using the ladder operators, we have

$$\hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}),$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kn} &= \langle k | \hat{p} | n \rangle \\ &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\langle k | \hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle - \langle k | \hat{a} | n \rangle) \\ &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\sqrt{n+1} \langle k | n+1 \rangle - \sqrt{n} \langle k | n-1 \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$p_{kn} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \left(\sqrt{(n+1)} \delta_{k,n+1} - \sqrt{n} \delta_{k,n-1} \right).$$

3. In the same fashion of (2) we have

$$\hat{p}^2 = -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a}) = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1 - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a} \hat{a}),$$

where in the last equality we used the commutator $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kn}^2 &= \langle k | \hat{p}^2 | n \rangle \\ &= \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2 \langle k | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} | n \rangle + \langle k | n \rangle - \langle k | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger | n \rangle - \langle k | \hat{a} \hat{a} | n \rangle) \\ &= \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2\sqrt{n} \langle k | \hat{a}^\dagger | n-1 \rangle + \langle k | n \rangle - \sqrt{n+1} \langle k | \hat{a}^\dagger | n+1 \rangle - \sqrt{n} \langle k | \hat{a} | n-1 \rangle) \\ &= \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2n \langle k | n \rangle + \langle k | n \rangle - \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \langle k | n+2 \rangle - \sqrt{n(n-1)} \langle k | n-2 \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$p_{kn}^2 = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[(2n+1) \delta_{kn} - \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \delta_{k,n+2} - \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{k,n-2} \right].$$

4. We can also use the result from (2) and write

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kn}^2 &= p_{kl} p_{ln} \\ &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left(\sqrt{(l+1)} \delta_{k,l+1} - \sqrt{l} \delta_{k,l-1} \right) \left(\sqrt{(n+1)} \delta_{l,n+1} - \sqrt{n} \delta_{l,n-1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[\sqrt{(l+1)(n+1)} \delta_{l,n+1} \delta_{k,l+1} - \sqrt{l(n+1)} \delta_{l,n+1} \delta_{k,l-1} - \sqrt{n(l+1)} \delta_{l,n-1} \delta_{k,l+1} + \sqrt{nl} \delta_{l,n-1} \delta_{k,l-1} \right] \\ &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[\sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \delta_{k,n+2} - (n+1) \delta_{kn} - n \delta_{kn} + \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{k,n-2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$p_{kn}^2 = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[(2n+1) \delta_{kn} - \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \delta_{k,n+2} - \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{k,n-2} \right].$$

5. Instead of using the eigenfunctions we will use the Dirac notation and write

$$\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \quad \hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}),$$

$$\hat{x}^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a}) = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a} + 2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1),$$

and

$$\hat{p}^2 = 2m\hat{H} - (m\omega)^2 \hat{x}^2 = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1 - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a} \hat{a}).$$

Thus, in the general case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k | \hat{x} | n \rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle k | (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) | n \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\sqrt{n+1} \langle k | n+1 \rangle + \sqrt{n} \langle k | n-1 \rangle) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\sqrt{n+1} \delta_{k,n+1} + \sqrt{n} \delta_{k,n-1}), \\ \langle k | \hat{p} | n \rangle &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \langle k | (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) | n \rangle = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\sqrt{n+1} \delta_{k,n+1} - \sqrt{n} \delta_{k,n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and in the same manner

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k | \hat{x}^2 | n \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{k,n+2} + \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{k,n-2} + (2n+1) \delta_{k,n}), \\ \langle k | \hat{p}^2 | n \rangle &= \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} ((2n+1) \delta_{k,n} - \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \delta_{k,n+2} - \sqrt{n(n-1)} \delta_{k,n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $n = k$ we get

$$\langle x \rangle_n = \langle p \rangle_n = 0,$$

and

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_n = \sigma_x^2 = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle p^2 \rangle_n = \sigma_p^2 = m\hbar\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

which yield the uncertainty relation

$$\sigma_x \sigma_p = \frac{\hbar}{2} (2n+1).$$

The minimal value $\hbar/2$ corresponds to $n = 0$. The corresponding eigenfunction is the Gaussian

$$\psi_0(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar} \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2}.$$

6. The kinetic and potential energies are

$$\langle T \rangle_n = \frac{\langle p^2 \rangle_n}{2m} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{E_n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle V \rangle_n = \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 \langle x^2 \rangle_n = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{E_n}{2}.$$

This is the same result one would've derived from the Virial theorem $2\langle T \rangle = n\langle V \rangle$, where $V \propto x^n$.

Question 3:

Among the stationary states of the harmonic oscillator only $n = 0$ hits the uncertainty limit ($\sigma_x \sigma_p = \hbar/2$). In general, $\sigma_x \sigma_p = (2n+1)\hbar/2$, as you found in the previous question. But certain linear combinations (known as *coherent states*) also minimize the uncertainty product. They are (as it turns out) eigenfunctions of the lowering operator (raising operator does not have eigenfunctions):

$$\hat{a} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle,$$

where α is in general a complex number.

1. Calculate $\langle x \rangle$, $\langle p \rangle$, $\langle x^2 \rangle$, $\langle p^2 \rangle$ in the state $|\alpha\rangle$.
2. Find σ_x and σ_p . Show that $\sigma_x \sigma_p = \hbar/2$.
3. Like any other wave function, a coherent state can be expanded in terms of energy eigenstates:

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |n\rangle.$$

Show that the expansion coefficients are

$$c_n = \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} c_0.$$

4. Show, by normalizing $|\alpha\rangle$, that

$$c_0 = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}}.$$

5. Show that $|\alpha(t)\rangle$ remains an eigenstate of \hat{a} , but the eigenvalue evolves in time:

$$\alpha(t) = e^{-i\omega t} \alpha.$$

So a coherent state stays coherent, and continues to minimize the uncertainty product.

6. Based on your answers to (1), (2), and (5), find $\langle x \rangle(t)$ and $\sigma_x(t)$. It helps if you write the complex number α as

$$\alpha = C \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} e^{i\phi},$$

for real numbers C and ϕ . *Comment:* In a sense, coherent states behave quasi-classically.

7. Is the ground state $|0\rangle$ itself a coherent state? If so, what is the eigenvalue?

Solution:

1. We can write \hat{x} and \hat{p} in terms of the ladder operators

$$\hat{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \quad \hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\langle a\alpha | \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha | a\alpha \rangle) = \boxed{\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\alpha^* + \alpha)}, \\ \langle p \rangle &= i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle = i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\langle a\alpha | \alpha \rangle - \langle \alpha | a\alpha \rangle) = \boxed{i\sqrt{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}} (\alpha^* - \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^2 \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + 2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + 1 + \hat{a} \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\alpha^{*2} + 2\alpha^* \alpha + 1 + \alpha^2) \\ &= \boxed{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} [1 + (\alpha^* + \alpha)^2]}, \\ \langle p^2 \rangle &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle \\ &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \langle \alpha | (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger - 2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - 1 + \hat{a} \hat{a}) | \alpha \rangle \\ &= -\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} (\alpha^{*2} - 2\alpha^* \alpha - 1 + \alpha^2) \\ &= \boxed{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} [1 - (\alpha^* - \alpha)^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Using the results from (1) we have

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left[1 + (\alpha^* + \alpha)^2 - (\alpha^* - \alpha)^2 \right] = \boxed{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}},$$

$$\sigma_p^2 = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2} \left[1 - (\alpha^* - \alpha)^2 + (\alpha^* + \alpha)^2 \right] = \boxed{\frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}},$$

thus $\boxed{\sigma_x \sigma_p = \hbar/2}$.

3. Taking the inner product with $|n\rangle$ we have

$$c_n = \langle n|\alpha\rangle = \left\langle 0 \left| \frac{\hat{a}^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \right| \alpha \right\rangle = \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle 0|\alpha\rangle = \boxed{\frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} c_0}.$$

4. Using the representation

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} c_0 |n\rangle,$$

we require

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \langle \alpha|\alpha\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,m} \langle m|c_0^* \frac{(\alpha^*)^m}{\sqrt{m!}} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} c_0 |n\rangle \\ &= |c_0|^2 \sum_{n,m} \frac{(\alpha^*)^m \alpha^n}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \langle m|n\rangle \\ &= |c_0|^2 \sum_n \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \\ &= |c_0|^2 e^{|\alpha|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\boxed{c_0 = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}}.$$

5. Tacking the time dependence factor we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha(t)\rangle &= \sum_{n=0} c_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \hat{a}^n |n\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})\omega t} e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} |n\rangle \\ &= e^{-i\omega t/2} \sum_n \frac{(\alpha e^{-i\omega t})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} |n\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

thus, aside from the phase $e^{-i\omega t/2}$ (which does not affect its status as an eigenfunction of \hat{a} , or its eigenvalue), $|\alpha(t)\rangle$ is the same as $|\alpha\rangle$, only with an eigenvalue of $\alpha(t) = \alpha e^{-i\omega t}$.

6. Using the results from before and taking the hint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\alpha^*(t) + \alpha(t)] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} [\alpha^* e^{i\omega t} + \alpha e^{-i\omega t}] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left[C \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} e^{-i\phi} e^{i\omega t} + C \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} e^{i\phi} e^{-i\omega t} \right] \\ &= \frac{C}{2} [e^{i(\omega t - \phi)} + e^{-i(\omega t - \phi)}] \\ &= \boxed{C \cos(\omega t - \phi)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}.$$

So in a coherent state the expectation value of the position oscillates, just like all eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator, while the wave packet maintains a constant width.

7. Acting with \hat{a} on the ground state yields

$$\hat{a}|0\rangle = 0,$$

which means it can be interpreted as an eigenstate with eigenvalue $\alpha = 0$.

Question 4:

A polar representation of the creation and annihilation operators for a simple harmonic oscillator can be written as:

$$\hat{a} \equiv \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} e^{i\hat{\phi}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}^\dagger = e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1},$$

where $\hat{N} \equiv \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ is called the *number operator*; both \hat{N} and $\hat{\phi}$ are hermitian.

1. Starting with the commutation relation $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$, show that

$$[e^{i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] = e^{i\hat{\phi}} \quad \text{and} \quad [e^{-i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] = -e^{-i\hat{\phi}}.$$

Similarly, Show that

$$[\cos \hat{\phi}, \hat{N}] = i \sin \hat{\phi} \quad \text{and} \quad [\sin \hat{\phi}, \hat{N}] = -i \cos \hat{\phi}.$$

2. Calculate the matrix elements

$$\langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle, \quad \langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle, \quad \langle k | \sin \hat{\phi} | n \rangle.$$

3. The generalized Heisenberg relation states that for any two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B}

$$\sigma_A^2 \sigma_B^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \rangle \right|^2.$$

Write down the Heisenberg uncertainty relation between the operators \hat{N} and $\cos \hat{\phi}$. Compute the quantities involved for the state

$$|\psi\rangle = (1 - |c|^2)^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c^n |n\rangle,$$

where c is some complex parameter. Show that the resulting inequality is always true.

4. Consider a *coherent state*

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle.$$

Calculate the quantities σ_N^2 , $\sigma_{\cos \phi}^2$ and $\langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle$ in this state. Show that the *number-phase* uncertainty inequality reduces to an equality in the limit of very large occupation numbers ($\alpha \rightarrow \infty$).

You may use the asymptotic formulas:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \approx \frac{e^{|z|^2}}{|z|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8|z|^2} + \dots \right),$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}} \approx \frac{e^{|z|^2}}{|z|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2|z|^2} + \dots \right).$$

Solution:

1. Starting from $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] \\
&= \left[\sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} e^{i\hat{\phi}}, e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} \right] \\
&= \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} e^{i\hat{\phi}} e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} - e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} e^{i\hat{\phi}} \\
&= \hat{N} - e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \hat{N} e^{i\hat{\phi}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the hermiticity of $\hat{\phi}$ for $e^{-i\hat{\phi}} = (e^{i\hat{\phi}})^\dagger$. Next we may act on this equation with either $e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}}$ from the right or left to get

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-i\hat{\phi}} &= \hat{N} e^{-i\hat{\phi}} - e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \hat{N} = -[e^{-i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}], \\
e^{i\hat{\phi}} &= e^{i\hat{\phi}} \hat{N} - \hat{N} e^{i\hat{\phi}} = [e^{i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}],
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\boxed{[e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] = \pm e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}}}.$$

We may use the Euler relation to write

$$\begin{aligned}
[\cos \hat{\phi}, \hat{N}] &= \frac{1}{2} \left([e^{i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] + [e^{-i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] \right) = \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\hat{\phi}} - e^{-i\hat{\phi}}) = \boxed{i \sin \hat{\phi}}, \\
[\sin \hat{\phi}, \hat{N}] &= \frac{1}{2i} \left([e^{i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] - [e^{-i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] \right) = \frac{1}{2i} (e^{i\hat{\phi}} + e^{-i\hat{\phi}}) = \boxed{-i \cos \hat{\phi}}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. The matrix elements of $e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle &= \pm \langle k | [e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}}, \hat{N}] | n \rangle \\
&= \pm \langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} \hat{N} - \hat{N} e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle \\
&= \pm (n - k) \langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$(k - (n \mp 1)) \langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = 0,$$

but $\langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle \neq 0$, thus $k = n \mp 1$, meaning

$$\langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = c \delta_{k, n \mp 1}.$$

In order to find c we take the non-zero inner product

$$c = \langle n - 1 | e^{i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle n - 1 | e^{i\hat{\phi}} \hat{a}^\dagger | n - 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle n - 1 | e^{i\hat{\phi}} e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} | n - 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle n - 1 | \sqrt{n} | n - 1 \rangle = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\boxed{\langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = \delta_{k, n \mp 1}}.$$

The rest are straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle k | e^{i\hat{\phi}} + e^{-i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = \boxed{\frac{1}{2} (\delta_{k, n-1} + \delta_{k, n+1})}, \\
\langle k | \sin \hat{\phi} | n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2i} \langle k | e^{i\hat{\phi}} - e^{-i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle = \boxed{\frac{1}{2i} (\delta_{k, n-1} - \delta_{k, n+1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. We've already calculated the commutator in the right-hand-side $[\cos \hat{\phi}, \hat{N}] = i \sin \hat{\phi}$, thus, in order to check the inequality we have to calculate $\langle \hat{N} \rangle$, $\langle \hat{N}^2 \rangle$, $\langle \cos \hat{\phi} \rangle$, $\langle \cos^2 \hat{\phi} \rangle$ and $\langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle$. Note that

$$\hat{N} |n\rangle = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} \hat{a}^\dagger |n-1\rangle = n |n\rangle.$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle &= \left\langle \left(1 - |c|^2\right)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c^k k \left| \hat{N} \right| \left(1 - |c|^2\right)^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c^n n \right\rangle \\ &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \langle k | \hat{N} | n \rangle \\ &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n n \delta_{k,n} \\ &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c|^{2n} n \\ &= \frac{|c|^2}{1 - |c|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and in the same way

$$\langle N^2 \rangle = \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c|^{2n} n^2 = |c|^2 \frac{1 + |c|^2}{(1 - |c|^2)^2}.$$

For the rest, let us first consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{\pm i \hat{\phi}} \rangle &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \langle k | e^{\pm i \hat{\phi}} | n \rangle \\ &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \delta_{k,n \mp 1} \\ &= \left(1 - |c|^2\right) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} |c|^{2n} c_{\mp} \\ &= c_{\mp}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_{\mp} is c or c^* :

$$\langle e^{i \hat{\phi}} \rangle = c \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e^{-i \hat{\phi}} \rangle = c^*.$$

Therefore

$$\langle \cos \hat{\phi} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (c + c^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle = \frac{1}{2i} (c - c^*),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \cos^2 \hat{\phi} \rangle &= (1 - |c|^2) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle \\
&= (1 - |c|^2) \sum_{k,n,m=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} | m \rangle \langle m | \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle \\
&= (1 - |c|^2) \sum_{k,n,m=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{k,m-1} + \delta_{k,m+1}) (\delta_{m,n-1} + \delta_{m,n+1}) \\
&= (1 - |c|^2) \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} (c^*)^k c^n \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{k,n-2} + \delta_{k,n} + \delta_{k,n} + \delta_{k,n+2}) \\
&= (1 - |c|^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4} |c|^{2n} ((c^*)^2 + 2 + c^2) \\
&= \underline{\underline{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} (c^{*2} + c^2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_N^2 &= |c|^2 \frac{1 + |c|^2}{(1 - |c|^2)^2} - \frac{|c|^4}{(1 - |c|^2)^2} = \frac{|c|^2}{1 - |c|^2} \\
\sigma_{\cos \phi}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} (c^{*2} + c^2) - \frac{1}{4} (c + c^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - |c|^2) \\
\sigma_{\cos \phi}^2 \sigma_N^2 &\geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle [\hat{N}, \cos \hat{\phi}] \rangle \right|^2 \rightarrow \boxed{\frac{1}{2} |c|^2 \geq \frac{1}{16} |c - c^*|^2 = \frac{1}{8} \text{Im}[c]^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which holds true, since the extreme scenario is when c is imaginary, in which $|c| = \text{Im}[c]$ and we have $1/2 > 1/8$.

4. Following the same method as before we calculate $\langle N \rangle$, $\langle N^2 \rangle$, $\langle \cos \hat{\phi} \rangle$, $\langle \cos^2 \hat{\phi} \rangle$, $\langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle$ and $\langle \sin^2 \hat{\phi} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N \rangle &= \langle \alpha | \hat{N} | \alpha \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \langle k | \hat{N} | n \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} n \langle k | n \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} n \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \\
&= \underline{\underline{|\alpha|^2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and in the same manner

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle N^2 \rangle &= \langle \alpha | \hat{N}^2 | \alpha \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} n^2 \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} n \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} (n+1) \\
&= \underline{|\alpha|^2 (|\alpha|^2 + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_N^2 = |\alpha|^2 (|\alpha|^2 + 1) - |\alpha|^4 = |\alpha|^2.$$

Just like before, let us start with

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} \rangle &= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \langle k | e^{\pm i\hat{\phi}} | n \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \delta_{k,n\mp 1} \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \alpha_{\mp}
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\langle e^{i\hat{\phi}} \rangle = \alpha e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e^{-i\hat{\phi}} \rangle = \alpha^* e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}},$$

Thus

$$\underline{\langle \cos \hat{\phi} \rangle = \frac{\alpha + \alpha^*}{2} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^*}{2} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}}}.$$

Using these, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \cos^2 \hat{\phi} \rangle &= \langle \alpha | \cos \hat{\phi} \cos \hat{\phi} | \alpha \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \langle k | \cos \hat{\phi} | m \rangle \langle m | \cos \hat{\phi} | n \rangle \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha^*)^k \alpha^n}{\sqrt{k!n!}} \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{k,n-2} + 2\delta_{k,n} + \delta_{k,n+2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left((\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2) \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{\sqrt{(n+2)!n!}} + 2 \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left((\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2) \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}} + 2 \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n!} \right) \\
&= \underline{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2}{4} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}}},
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\sigma_{\cos \phi}^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2}{4} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}} - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2}{4} e^{-2|\alpha|^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \right)^2.$$

Recalling that $\sigma_{\cos \phi}^2 \sigma_N^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left| \langle \sin \hat{\phi} \rangle \right|^2$, we can plug it all in and find

$$|\alpha|^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2}{4} e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)}} - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2}{4} e^{-2|\alpha|^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \right)^2 \right] \leq \frac{|\alpha - \alpha^*|^2}{16} e^{-2|\alpha|^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{n! \sqrt{n+1}} \right)^2$$

taking $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and keeping the first order in the asymptotic formulas, we get

$$|\alpha|^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2}{4|\alpha|^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2} + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-4}) \right) - \frac{(\alpha + \alpha^*)^2}{4|\alpha|^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8|\alpha|^2} + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-4}) \right) \right] \leq \frac{|\alpha - \alpha^*|^2}{16|\alpha|^2} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-2}) \right)^2$$

$$4|\alpha|^2 \left[\cancel{2|\alpha|^2} + (\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2|\alpha|^2} + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-4}) \right) - (2|\alpha|^2 + \alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2) \left(1 - \frac{1}{4|\alpha|^2} + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-4}) \right) \right] \leq |\alpha - \alpha^*|^2 \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-2}) \right)$$

$$\boxed{2|\alpha|^2 - (\alpha^2 + (\alpha^*)^2) \leq |\alpha - \alpha^*|^2 + \mathcal{O}(|\alpha|^{-2})},$$

and since $|\alpha - \alpha^*|^2 = 2|\alpha|^2 - \alpha^2 - (\alpha^*)^2$, we get an equality in this limit.