

# Quantum Mechanics 3 - Class Exercise 7

21.12.2022

## Question 1

Consider two free particles with momentum  $\vec{k}$ ,  $\vec{k}'$ . Find the probability density function for finding the particles at  $\vec{r}_1$ ,  $\vec{r}_2$  if

1. The particles are distinguishable.
2. The particles are spin-less bosons.
3. The particles are electrons in singlet state.
4. The particles are electrons in triplet state.

## Solution to 1

The particles are distinguishable and so we know that one of them has momentum  $\vec{k}$  while the other has momentum  $\vec{k}'$ . Therefore, their state is

$$|\psi\rangle = |\vec{k}\rangle|\vec{k}'\rangle, \quad (1)$$

and the wave-function is

$$\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \langle \vec{r}_1 | \langle \vec{r}_2 | \cdot |\vec{k}\rangle|\vec{k}'\rangle = \langle \vec{r}_1 | \vec{k}\rangle \langle \vec{r}_2 | \vec{k}'\rangle = \frac{1}{L^{3/2}} e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \quad (2)$$

$$\implies |\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)|^2 = \frac{1}{L^3}. \quad (3)$$

This distribution does not depend on the values of  $\vec{r}_1$  and  $\vec{r}_2$ .

## Solution to 2

The particles are spin-less bosons and their overall state has to be symmetric, therefore

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\vec{k}\rangle|\vec{k}'\rangle + |\vec{k}'\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle \right). \quad (4)$$

Thus

$$\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L^{3/2}} \left[ e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} + e^{i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right]. \quad (5)$$

$$\implies |\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)|^2 = \frac{1}{2L^3} \left[ 1 + 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} e^{-i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{L^3} \left[ 1 + \cos(\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}) \right] = \frac{2}{L^3} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}}{2} \right), \quad (7)$$

where  $\Delta\vec{r} \equiv \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1$ ,  $\Delta\vec{k} \equiv \vec{k} - \vec{k}'$ . Notice it is fairly plausible to find the particles at  $\Delta\vec{r} = 0$ .

### Solution to 3

The particles are electrons in singlet state which is anti-symmetric under the exchange of the electrons spins.

$$|\text{singlet}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle). \quad (8)$$

Since the overall state of the electrons has to be anti-symmetric we know that it must be symmetric in momentum ,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\vec{k}\rangle|\vec{k}'\rangle + |\vec{k}'\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle) \otimes |\text{singlet}\rangle. \quad (9)$$

Thus

$$\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L^{3/2}} \left[ e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} + e^{i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right]. \quad (10)$$

$$\implies |\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)|^2 = \frac{1}{2L^3} \left[ 1 + 1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} e^{-i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{1}{L^3} \left[ 1 + \cos(\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}) \right] = \frac{2}{L^3} \cos^2 \left( \frac{\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}}{2} \right), \quad (12)$$

As in the case of spin-less bosons, it is fairly plausible to find the particles at  $\Delta\vec{r} = 0$ .

### Solution to 4

The particles are electrons in triplet state which is symmetric under the exchange of the electrons spins.

$$|\text{triplet}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle) \quad \text{or} \quad |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \quad \text{or} \quad |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Since the overall state of the electrons has to be anti-symmetric we know that it must be anti-symmetric in momentum ,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\vec{k}\rangle|\vec{k}'\rangle - |\vec{k}'\rangle|\vec{k}\rangle) \otimes |\text{triplet}\rangle. \quad (14)$$

Thus

$$\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L^{3/2}} \left[ e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} - e^{i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right]. \quad (15)$$

$$\implies |\psi(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)|^2 = \frac{1}{2L^3} \left[ 1 + 1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left( e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_2)} e^{-i(\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{r}_1 + \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}_2)} \right) \right] \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{L^3} \left[ 1 - \cos(\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}) \right] = \frac{2}{L^3} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\Delta\vec{k} \cdot \Delta\vec{r}}{2} \right). \quad (17)$$

It is now impossible to find the particles at  $\Delta\vec{r} = 0$ .

## Question 2

Find the partition function for a system with  $N$  energy levels described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \epsilon_{ij} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \quad (18)$$

where  $\hat{a}_i^\dagger$  and  $\hat{a}_i$  are the creation and annihilation operators of the  $i$ 'th state, and  $\epsilon_{i,j}$  is a real symmetric matrix. Do it for

1. fermions.
2. bosons.

## Solution to 1

The Hamiltonian is not diagonal in Fock basis. It would be convenient to diagonalize it for calculating the partition function. This is doable since  $\epsilon_{i,j}$  is symmetric and real, and therefore it can be written as

$$\epsilon = U^T E U \implies \epsilon_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ki} E_{kk} U_{kj}. \quad (19)$$

where  $E$  is a diagonal matrix and  $U$  is an orthogonal matrix ( $U U^T = \mathbb{I}$ ). Let us plug this definition in the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N U_{ki} E_{kk} U_{kj} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j = \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \sum_{i=1}^N U_{ki} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \sum_{j=1}^N U_{kj} \hat{a}_j = \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k, \quad (20)$$

where we defined

$$\hat{b}_k \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N U_{kj} \hat{a}_j \quad \hat{b}_k^\dagger \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N U_{ki} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \quad (21)$$

Let's verify that  $\hat{b}_k^\dagger$  and  $\hat{b}_k$  can be interpreted as creation and annihilation operators. For fermions we examine the anti-commutator relations

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_j^\dagger\} &= \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ik} \hat{a}_k, \sum_{l=1}^N U_{jl} \hat{a}_l^\dagger \right\} = \sum_{k,l=1}^N U_{ik} U_{jl} \{\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_l^\dagger\} = \sum_{k,l=1}^N U_{ik} U_{jl} \delta_{k,l} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ik} U_{jk} = (U U^T)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\{\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_j\} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ik} \hat{a}_k, \sum_{l=1}^N U_{jl} \hat{a}_l \right\} = \sum_{k,l=1}^N U_{ik} U_{jl} \{\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_l\} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\implies \{\hat{b}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_j^\dagger\} = \{\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_j\}^\dagger = 0 \quad (24)$$

We can now calculate the partition function

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \right) = \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\beta \sum_{k=1}^N E_{kk} \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k} \right) = \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k} \right), \quad (25)$$

where in the last equality I used  $e^{A+B} = e^A e^B$  if  $[A, B] = 0$ , with  $A = \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i$  and  $B = \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j$  (convince yourself why  $[\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j] = 0$ ). We now calculate the trace explicitly for fermions in Fock basis.

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N | \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} \hat{b}_k^\dagger \hat{b}_k} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N | \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \prod_{k=1}^N (1 + e^{-\beta E_{kk}}) = \det \left( \mathbb{I} + e^{-\beta \hat{E}} \right) = \det \left( \mathbb{I} + e^{-\beta \hat{\epsilon}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where in the last step I used the fact that the determinant of a matrix is independent on the basis in which it is calculated.

While the equality sign (\*) in Eq. (26) is true, it is not very trivial. Let us see carefully why this equality holds.

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = \sum_{n_1=0}^1 e^{-\beta E_{11} n_1} \sum_{n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \prod_{k=2}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = (1 + e^{-\beta E_{11}}) \sum_{n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \prod_{k=2}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k}. \quad (27)$$

By repeating the above procedure  $N - 1$  more times, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^1 \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = (1 + e^{-\beta E_{11}}) (1 + e^{-\beta E_{22}}) \dots (1 + e^{-\beta E_{NN}}) = \prod_{k=1}^N (1 + e^{-\beta E_{kk}}). \quad (28)$$

Actually, we can easily generalize this relation for maximum  $M$  possible occupations:

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^M \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^N \sum_{n_k=0}^M e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k}. \quad (29)$$

Thus, in some sense, the exponent allows us to switch the order of the sum and the product signs (this is not true in general!).

## Solution to 2

For bosons we do the exact same calculations, but now each state can be occupied by up to  $M \rightarrow \infty$  particles (instead of only one particle per state in the case of fermions). All of our calculations from the previous item are still valid so we can write

$$Z = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N=0}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^N e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^N \sum_{n_k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_{kk} n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta E_{kk}}} = \det \left[ \left( \mathbb{I} - e^{-\beta \hat{E}} \right)^{-1} \right] \quad (30)$$

$$= \det \left[ \left( \mathbb{I} - e^{-\beta \hat{\epsilon}} \right)^{-1} \right] = \left[ \det \left( \mathbb{I} - e^{-\beta \hat{\epsilon}} \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (31)$$

Notice that we can write the partition function for both fermions and bosons as

$$\boxed{Z = \left[ \det \left( \mathbb{I} \pm e^{-\beta \hat{\epsilon}} \right) \right]^{\pm 1}}, \quad (32)$$

with  $+$  for fermions and  $-$  for bosons.

### Question 3

Consider  $N$  interacting spin-less bosons in a box of volume  $L^3$ . The Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} + \frac{1}{2L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}', \vec{q}} \tilde{V}(\vec{q}) \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}. \quad (33)$$

If  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  is the ground state of the free system, compute  $\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle$ .

### Solution

The free system is described by

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}. \quad (34)$$

From here it is easy to see that the ground state corresponds to all  $N$  bosons having zero momentum, i.e.  $\vec{k} = 0$ . The ground state can be constructed from the vacuum state,

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} |0\rangle, \quad (35)$$

and has zero kinetic energy,

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0. \quad (36)$$

Thus, we need to consider only the interaction term:

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}', \vec{q}} \tilde{V}(\vec{q}) \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2L^3 N!} \sum_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}', \vec{q}} \tilde{V}(\vec{q}) \langle 0 | \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} | 0 \rangle. \quad (37)$$

We need to calculate

$$\langle 0 | \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \left[ \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N}, \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \right] \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \left[ \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}, \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \right] | 0 \rangle \quad (38)$$

We can now use the identity

$$\text{if } [A, [A, B]] = 0 \implies [f(A), B] = [A, B] f'(A), \quad (39)$$

to evaluate the commutators in Eq. (38):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} | 0 \rangle &= N^2 \langle 0 | \underbrace{\left[ \hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_{\vec{k}+\vec{q}}^\dagger \right]}_{\delta_{0, \vec{k}+\vec{q}}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \underbrace{\left[ \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}, \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \right]}_{\delta_{\vec{k}, 0}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1} | 0 \rangle \\ &= N^2 \langle 0 | \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1} \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'-\vec{q}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1} | 0 \rangle \delta_{\vec{k}, 0} \delta_{0, \vec{k}+\vec{q}} = N^2 \langle 0 | \left[ \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1}, \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'}^\dagger \right] \left[ \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'}, \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-1} \right] | 0 \rangle \delta_{\vec{k}, 0} \delta_{\vec{q}, 0} \\ &= N^2 (N-1)^2 \langle 0 | \underbrace{\left[ \hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'}^\dagger \right]}_{\delta_{0, \vec{k}'}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-2} \underbrace{\left[ \hat{a}_{\vec{k}'}, \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N} \right]}_{\delta_{\vec{k}', 0}} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-2} | 0 \rangle \delta_{\vec{k}, 0} \delta_{\vec{q}, 0} \\ &= N^2 (N-1)^2 \langle 0 | \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-2} \hat{a}_0^{\dagger N-2} | 0 \rangle \delta_{\vec{k}, 0} \delta_{\vec{q}, 0} \delta_{\vec{k}', 0} = N^2 (N-1)^2 (N-2)! \delta_{\vec{k}, 0} \delta_{\vec{q}, 0} \delta_{\vec{k}', 0}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Plugging this result back in Eq. (37) yields

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \frac{N^2 (N-1)^2 (N-2)!}{2L^3 N!} \tilde{V}(\vec{q}=0) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2L^3} \tilde{V}(\vec{q}=0) = \binom{N}{2} \times \langle V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rangle. \quad (41)$$

The RHS is nothing but the mean potential energy between static boson pairs times the number of pairs (binomial coefficient of  $N$  over 2).